

THE JAMES L. AND E. MARLENE BRUNING UNDERGRADUATE RESEARCH AWARD

Selection Criteria: Empirical Research¹

Student Name²:

Student Project Title:

Reviewer Name:

Date:

After completing the rubric on the other side, the evaluator should provide narrative comments below that will assist in resolving tie scores.

¹ Adapted and significantly changed from rubric available at <u>http://www.web.virginia.edu/iaas/assessment/assessrubrics.htm</u>

² Student must satisfy eligibility requirements and be nominated by a faculty mentor as identified in THE JAMES L. AND E. MARLENE BRUNING UNDERGRADUATE RESEARCH AWARD, Eligibility Requirements and Nomination Procedure.

Please, check the appropriate statement for each row.

	Novice 0 points	Apprentice 1 point	Expected 2 points	Expert (working at or beyond graduate level) 3 points	Score
Background	Purpose not stated	Purpose unclear	Purpose stated clearly	Sophisticated purpose	
	Context absent, no literature cited	Literature cited, not connected to study	Literature cited, connected to study	Sophisticated literature review	
	No hypothesis stated	Hypothesis unclear	Hypothesis stated clearly	Includes rationale for hypothesis	
	Comments:				
Method/Results	Materials not stated	Materials mentioned, little detail	Materials mentioned, adequate detail	Provides rationale for materials	
	Procedure not stated	Procedure lacking or unclear	Procedure replicable	provides rational for procedure	
	No mention of internal validity issues	Internal validity issues superficial	Internal validity issues correct	Mature internal validity analysis	
	Analysis not right for data collected	Analysis superficial	Analysis demonstrates some sophistication	Sophisticated data analysis	·
	Analysis not linked to purpose	Analysis somewhat linked to purpose	Analysis mostly linked to purpose	Analysis linked to purpose	
	Comments:				
Discussion	Conclusions not provided	Conclusions superficial or lack support	Conclusions connected to results	Connects conclusions to literature	
	Limitations not recognized	Limitations superficial	Limitations show some depth	Mature analysis of limitations	
	Comments:				
Acumen	Unable to answer questions	Answers questions, not always correct	Answers questions correctly	Sophisticated answers to questions	
	No creativity of thought evident	Limited creativity in designing study	Shows creativity in designing study	Much creativity in study design	
	Comments:				
Message	No clear structure	Clear structure	Clear structure, effective transitions	Clear structure, interesting story	
	No regard for audience evident	Overuses jargon; limited explanations	Clear explanations of advanced concepts	Adapts explanations to audience	
	Comments:				
Communication	Poor eye contact, distracting gestures	Good eye contact, few distracting gestures	Good eye contact, no distracting gestures	Professional mannerisms	
	Monotonous or overuse of verbal fillers	Attempts to vary voice, few verbal fillers	Demonstrates enthusiasm	Fosters enthusiasm in audience	
	Over relied on AV aids	Use of visual aids not always effective	Uses visual aids effectively	Professional use of visual aids	
	Comments:				