

**COUNCIL ON SOCIAL WORK EDUCATION - FORM AS 4(B)**  
**CAPTIAL UNIVERSITY BACCALAUREATE SOCIAL WORK PROGRAM**  
**ASSESSMENT OF STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES**

**Form AS 4(B):** A form required for Reaffirmation, Candidacy, and ongoing compliance per AS 4.0.3.

---

**Submitting Form AS 4 for Reaffirmation Self-Study & Candidacy Benchmarks**

This form is used to assist the COA in the evaluation of the program's compliance with the accreditation standard below:

**4.0.3:** The program uses Form AS 4(B) and/or Form AS 4(M) to report its most recent assessment outcomes for each program option to constituents and the public on its website and routinely up-dates (minimally every 2 years) its findings.

All programs accredited by the Council on Social Work Education's Commission on Accreditation (COA) are required to measure and report student learning outcomes. All students are assessed using a minimum of two measures on their mastery of the nine competencies that comprise the Educational Policy and Accreditation Standards (EPAS) and any additional competencies programs may choose to add. These holistic competencies reflect the dimensions (knowledge, values, skills, and cognitive & affective processes) of social work practice that all social workers are expected to master during their professional training.

Programs determine a percentage-based benchmark for each competency and determine an outcome-measure benchmark (minimum score) for each measure. The competency benchmark (which can differ for each competency) represents the minimum percent of students the program expects to have achieved the outcome measure benchmarks in both/all measures for each of the nine competencies. The program then determines the percentage of students that attained each outcome measure (e.g., minimum score or higher), and aggregates the percentages for both/all measures together to obtain the percentage of students demonstrating competence inclusive of two (2) or more measures. The result of aggregating both/all outcome measure percentages provides the percentage of students achieving the competency benchmark. An aggregated percentage at or above the competency benchmark is considered achievement of that competency. If the program has more than one program option, the program must report data for each program option, and also an aggregate of all program options combined to determine an overall percentage of students across all program options achieving the competency benchmark.

**Posting Form AS 4 for Ongoing Compliance with AS 4.0.3**

Per the requirement of CSWE COA's recognizing body, the Council on Higher Education Accreditation (CHEA), and accreditation standard 4.0.3, programs must post this form publicly on its website and routinely up-date (minimally every 2 years) its findings. Upon request, programs must provide CSWE with the weblink to the published form on the program's website where it is accessible to the public. Data presented on the form must be collected within 2 years of today's date at all times.

**Summary of CAPITAL UNIVERSITY BSW PROGRAM ASSESSMENT PLAN | Generalist Practice**

CSWE: All students are assessed using a minimum of two measures on their mastery of the nine competencies that comprise the Educational Policy and Accreditation Standards of the Council on Social Work Education and any additional competencies programs may choose to add. Summarize the program's competency-based assessment plan. Programs may add/delete rows to accurately reflect the number measures included in the data presented.

<b>Assessment Measure #1: (Course Embedded Instruments/Rubrics)</b>	
Dimension(s) assessed:	Knowledge, Values, Skills, Cognitive/Affective Processes
When/where students are assessed:	Fall, Spring, Summer Coursework across curriculum (except in Field Education I & II)
Who assessed student competence:	Faculty and Adjunct Faculty
Outcome Measure Benchmark (minimum score indicative of achievement) for Competencies 1-9:	7/10
Competency Benchmark (percent of students the program expects to have achieved the minimum scores, inclusive of all measures) for Competencies 1-9:	70% of Students will demonstrate compliance
<b>Assessment Measure #2: (Final Fieldwork Evaluation of Student)</b>	
Dimension(s) assessed:	Knowledge, Values, Skills, Cognitive/Affective Processes
When/where students are assessed:	Spring Semester/ summative evaluation, Field Education II
Who assessed student competence:	Faculty/Adjunct Faculty, Field Instructors
Outcome Measure Benchmark (minimum score indicative of achievement) for Competencies 1-9:	7/10
Competency Benchmark (percent of students the program expects to have achieved the minimum scores, inclusive of all measures) for Competencies 1-9:	70 % of students will demonstrate compliance

<p><b>DATA COLLECTED AY 2019-2020</b></p> <p><b>(Last COA reaffirmation Feb/2020)</b></p>	<p><b>COMPETENCY BENCHMARK</b></p>	<p><b>Percentage of Students Achieving Benchmark</b></p>
<p><b>Competency #</b></p>	<p><b>70% BENCHMARK FOR EACH OF THE 1-9 COMP</b></p>	<p>Field students, n= 29 (Final Field Instructor Evaluations, activities/tasks assigned to each of the 9 competencies)</p> <p>Course enrolled students n=89 (Signature assignment measures graded by faculty) N=501 observations, across N=89 students</p> <p><b>Total students measured = 118</b></p>
<p><b>Competency 1: Demonstrate Ethical and Professional Behavior</b></p>	<p><b>70 % of students will demonstrate competence</b></p>	<p>Field 100% (n=29) Course embedded 86% (n=24) <b>Total: 93.0% (N=53)</b></p>
<p><b>Competency 2: Engage Diversity and Difference in Practice</b></p>	<p><b>70 % of students will demonstrate competence</b></p>	<p>Field 100% (n=29) Course embedded 75% (n=76) <b>Total: 87.5% (N=105)</b></p>
<p><b>Competency 3: Advance Human Rights and Social, Economic, and Environmental Justice</b></p>	<p><b>70 % of students will demonstrate competence</b></p>	<p>Field 100% (n=29) Course embedded 100% (n=22) <b>Total: 100% (N=51)</b></p>
<p><b>Competency 4: Engage in Practice-informed Research and Research-informed Practice</b></p>	<p><b>70 % of students will demonstrate competence</b></p>	<p>Field 100% (n=29) Course embedded 88% (n=72) <b>Total: 94.0% (N=101)</b></p>
<p><b>Competency 5: Engage in Policy Practice</b></p>	<p><b>70 % of students will demonstrate competence</b></p>	<p>Field 100% (n=29) Course embedded 96% (n=25) <b>Total: 98.0% (N= 54)</b></p>
<p><b>Competency 6: Engage with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities</b></p>	<p><b>70 % of students will demonstrate competence</b></p>	<p>Field 100% (n=29) Course embedded 92% (n=45) <b>Total: 96.0% (N=74)</b></p>

<b>Competency 7: Assess Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities</b>	<b>70 % of students will demonstrate competence</b>	Field 100% (n=29) Course embedded 91% (n=48) <b>Total: 95.5% (N=77)</b>
<b>Competency 8: Intervene with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities</b>	<b>70 % of students will demonstrate competence</b>	Field 100% (n=29) Course embedded 96% (n=51) <b>Total: 98.0% (N=80)</b>
<b>Competency 9: Evaluate Practice with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities</b>	<b>70 % of students will demonstrate competence</b>	Field 100% (n=29) Course embedded 57% (n=50) <b>Total: 78.5% (N=79)</b>